BLEMEAINÔ/ABLEMES (-EÔS): MEANING AND POSSIBLE ETYMOLOGY

APOSTOLOS ATHANASSAKIS

University of California, Santa Barbara

A summary of the bare facts concerning the occurrence of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\nu\omega$ both in Homer and in later Greek is necessary for clearing the path toward a better understanding of its meaning. It is found in the form βλεμεαίνει or βλεμεαίνων, always preceded by the dative σθένεϊ, six times in the Iliad and never in the Odyssey: (Il. @ 337; I 237; M 42; P 22 and 135; Y 36). Outside Homer, it occurs once in variant readings of Batrachom. 274, unescorted by $\sigma\theta \acute{\epsilon}\nu \epsilon \ddot{\imath}$, and many centuries later in St. Gregory's of Nazianzen metrical diatribe Κατά γυναικών καλλωπιζομένων (line 289), where κάλλεϊ substitutes $\sigma\theta$ ένεϊ. This pattern suggests that, if we take St. Gregory's use of the word as a pure Homericism, $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \omega$ is found only once outside the *Iliad*, and there only in some of the manuscripts. In the *Iliad* the phrase σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνει (- ων) is applied twice to Hector (Θ 337; I 237), once to a wild boar or a lion to which Hector is likened (M 42), once again to a wild boar (P 22), then, to a lion to which Aias is likened (P 135), and finally to Hephaistos (Y 36). As we shall see, this distribution is not devoid of some significance.

The oldest lexical reference to $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha'\nu\omega$ is the one in the lexicon of Apollonius the Sophist, where the participial form is explained with $\epsilon\pi\nu\rho\rho\omega\nu'\nu\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$.³ The meaning "exult," the only one given in LSJ, is first found in Hesychius, and later in Eustathius.⁴ It should be noted, however, that Eustathius in his comment on Il. M 42 goes

¹ Where MSS of the K family show $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\epsilon\iota$, i, j, l contain the participial form.

² Migne, PG (37) 906, lines 289-90.

³ Apoll. Lex., ed. I. Bekker (Berlin 1833) s.v. The same meaning is given first choice in Et. Gud.

⁴ In Hsch. s.v. Bλεμεαίνειν, γαυριᾶν and ϵπαίρεσθαι head the list of explanatory words.

beyond this, when he explains that the word means to yaupiav π εριβλεπόμενον καὶ ἐπαίρεσθαι.⁵ Indeed, as we shall see, Eustathius connected βλεμεαίνω with some activity of the eyes and the region round the eyes. From what he says in various places, it is obvious that others before him connected our word with $\beta \rho \acute{\epsilon} \mu \omega$ and a hypothetical derivative $\beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \omega$. He ascribes this opinion to the ancients ($\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau o \dot{v}_S \pi a \lambda a \iota o \dot{v}_S$), but does not seem to share it, just as he does not seem to accept any connection with $\phi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \psi$ through a hypothetical $\phi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \beta \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega$. Eustathius does not propose an etymology of his own, but the meanings which he gives for $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\omega$ seem to imply a connection with βλέπω. Commenting on Il. Θ 337, he explains our word with τοκαὶ μόνω βλέμματι ἐκφοβεῖν ἢ τὸ ἐνταῦθα καὶ ἐκεῖ ἐπιστρεφῶς $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. This inquiry hopes to show that Eustathius read the pertinent passages more carefully than most, and that his understanding of this rare Homeric word lies much closer to what the poet meant than the filler "to exult."9

Not all of the Homeric passages cited above offer us help in determining the meaning of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\omega$; this would be too much to hope for. However, two of the six pertinent places give us significant clues by virtue of the manner in which the component parts of the similes stand in relation to each other. The following quotation gives us the necessary context for consideration of the first occurrence of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\omega$ in Il. Θ 337:

οί δ' ίθὺς τάφροιο βαθείης ὧσαν 'Αχαιούς '
"Εκτωρ δ' ἐν πρώτοισι κίε σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων.
ὡς δ' ὅτε τίς τε κύων συὸς ἀγρίου ἠὲ λέοντος ἄπτηται κατόπισθε, ποσὶν ταχέεσσι διώκων, ἰσχία τε γλουτούς τε, ἐλισσόμενόν τε δοκεύει, ὡς "Εκτωρ ὤπαζε κάρη κομόωντας 'Αχαιούς,

⁵ Eust. Comment. ad Hom. Il. 892,2; every subsequent reference to this work will be given with the abbreviation Eust.

⁶ Eust. 716,14; 1194,4. This etymological connection is also listed in Et. Magnum.

⁷ Eust. 54,15 where the cautious ώς ἀπὸ φλεβός φασιν suggests reservations.

⁸ Eust. 716,14; for Il. M 42 it is τὸ γαυριᾶν περιβλεπόμενον καὶ ἐπαίρεσθαι (892,2); cf. also 1194,45 on Il. Y 37.

⁹ Accepted also by Frisk, who settles for "sich brüsten, trotzen" (*Griech. etym. Wört.*) s.v. It should be noted that Eustathius' interpretation is not basically different from that given in *Et. Magnum*. H. Stephanus (*Thesaurus*) accepts the interpretation of Eustathius.

αι εν αποκτείνων τον οπίστατον οι δε φέβοντο.

"Εκτωρ δ' αμφιπεριστρώφα καλλίτριχας ιππους, Γοργούς όμματ' έχων ήδε βροτολοιγού "Αρηος.

(Θ 336-42 and 348-49).

Hector leading the charge against the Achaeans κίε σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων. 10 He was doing two things, only the first of which, namely his running ($\kappa l \in A^{I}$) in pursuit of the enemy, is clear; but a simile follows and this simile should clarify for us what is meant by the less clear βλεμεαίνων (B1). He is like a dog, which in swift chase snaps at the hind quarters of a wild boar or a lion (A2), and which "eyes" the quarry as it turns about (B2). II Hector's twofold action described by $\kappa i \epsilon$ (AI) $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha l \nu \omega \nu$ (B^I) is balanced out and elucidated by the twofold action of the dog portrayed in the simile as just divided. If the pursuing and snapping correspond to Hector's running, as indeed they seem to, then the "eyeing," or whatever is precisely meant by δοκεύει, should correspond to the action indicated by $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha i\nu\omega\nu$. But there is more than correspondence in a simile; there is illustration and, with B2 standing to B^I as A² does to A^I, $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota$ must illustrate $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ which, in turn, must be descriptive of something Hector does with his eyes. That the poet is very anxious to tell us about Hector's eyes there can be no doubt. It is only a few lines below, after the Achaeans have been forced into the ditch surrounding their ships, that we are told that Hector was driving his fair-maned steeds around

Γοργοῦς ὅμματ' ἔχων ἠδὲ βροτολοιγοῦ Ἄρηος (Θ 349).

There is nothing unclear about the poet's intention here. He is returning to an earlier theme to give it climactic reinforcement. It is as though he felt that Hector's swift movement was adequately described, while the terrible flashing of his eyes merited more than the rather unimpressive $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \acute{v} \epsilon \iota$; hence, the introduction of another shorter simile, which likens Hector's eyes to those of Gorgo or of murderous

¹⁰ Prof. Lattimore's rendition, "in the pride of his strength," is in keeping with the accepted "exult" (*The Iliad of Homer*, Chicago 1967).

¹¹ Some of the MSS show $\epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, thereby implying that it is the dog who "turns about." The turning about of the lion here is strongly reminiscent of the stance of the lion in the famous "Mycenean daggers."

Ares. Again, it should be noted that lines 348-49 depict the same twofold action by describing both the way in which Hector whirled about in his chariot and the way in which he was glowering at the enemy. αμφιπεριστρώφα (A3) Γοργοῦς ὅμματ' ἔχων (B3) corresponds to κ ίε (A^I) β λεμεαίνων (B^I) and corroborates the idea that β λεμεαίνων may refer to something, other than Hector's movement, that engages the poet's attention, namely, his eyes. There is a symmetry in these lines and a crescendo in strength of imagery that is totally missing if the function of the simile is overlooked. κίε σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων might mean either "he moved mightily, flashing his eyes about" or "he moved flashing his eyes about mightily." 12 This is much more in keeping with what follows the disputed word in Θ 337 and with Hector's behavior elsewhere than any sort of chest thumping implied by the usually accepted rendition of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha i\nu\omega$. Several books later Homer likens him to a $\delta \rho \acute{a} \kappa \omega \nu$ who $\sigma \mu \epsilon \rho \delta a \lambda \acute{e} o \nu \delta \acute{e} \delta o \rho \kappa \epsilon \nu$ (Il. X 93). 13 Surely, there is a point to all this and one pertinent not to mere grammatical correctness, but to the very substance of Homer's conception of Hector.

Let us now go to another Homeric passage to see whether this idea is substantiated. Aias, having covered the body of the dead Patroklos with his shield,

> έστήκει ως τίς τε λέων περὶ οἶσι τέκεσσιν, ῷ ρά τε νήπι' ἄγοντι συναντήσωνται ἐν ὕλῃ ἄνδρες ἐπακτῆρες· ὁ δέ τε σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνει, πῶν δέ τ' ἐπισκύνιον κάτω ἕλκεται ὅσσε καλύπτων· ως Αἴας περὶ Πατρόκλῳ ἤρωϊ βεβήκει. (Il. P 133-37).

Aias is like a lion guarding his young against hunters, who have come

12 The first rendition would link $\sigma\theta\acute{e}\nu \epsilon \ddot{\iota}$ more closely with $\kappa \acute{\iota}\epsilon$ as a sort of sociative dative; but since $\sigma\theta\acute{e}\nu \epsilon \ddot{\iota}$ always precedes $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha \acute{\iota}\nu\omega\nu$ ($\epsilon\iota$) in the Iliad, and since in two of the six cases (P 22 and 135) no other verbal form can be linked with this dative, it is not unreasonable to assume that these two words form a syntagm. In the Iliad $\sigma\theta\acute{e}\nu\epsilon\ddot{\iota}$ is found several times as an instrumental dative and once as an object of $\pi\epsilon\pi\sigma\iota\theta\acute{\tau}\tau as$ in P 329. So long as the meaning of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\acute{\iota}\nu\omega$ is unknown, one can look upon $\sigma\theta\acute{e}\nu\epsilon\ddot{\iota}$ either as a possible object of the unknown word or as an instrumental dative in one of its various uses. However, the syntactical classification of this dative depends on the meaning of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\acute{\iota}\nu\omega$ and not $\nuice\ \nu ersa$. The meaning which is assigned to $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\acute{\iota}\nu\omega$ in this study would require that $\sigma\theta\acute{e}\nu\epsilon\ddot{\iota}$ be looked upon as an instrumental dative of the kind that we usually classify as dative of manner or dative of cause.

13 A creature not unlikely deriving its name from its gleaming eyes; for δράκων related to δέρκομαι (cf. Skr. dfś) see Frisk (above, note 9) s.ν.

upon him in the forest. The lion—to use Prof. Lattimore's translation of lines 134-35—"stands in the pride of his strength / hooding his eyes under the cover of down-drawn eyelids." ¹⁴ This is a beautiful rendition of Homer, but for my argument I have to be more literal and less poetic. So, leaving the obscure in Greek, I translate "and he with might $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha i\nu\epsilon\iota$, and draws down all the brow skin covering his eyes." ¹⁵ Now if, as seems probable, line 136 describes something which follows as a natural result of the action described by $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha i\nu\epsilon\iota$ it stands to reason that this is an activity centering round the eyes, some sort of frown or glower not unlikely accompanied by an angry flashing of the eyes. The usual rendition of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha i\nu\omega$ with "exult" makes a virtual non sequitur of line 136.

Once more we return to Hector in connection with whom Homer uses $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha'i\nu\omega$ three out of six times in the *Iliad*. The Trojans have lit fires near the Achaean ships and are about to fall upon them, and

.... Έκτωρ δὲ μέγα σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων μαίνεται ἐκπάγλως, πίσυνος Διί, οὐδέ τι τίει ἀνέρας οὐδὲ θεούς· κρατερὴ δέ ἐ λύσσα δέδυκεν. (Il. I 237–39).

The $\mu\alpha\nu i\alpha$ and $\lambda\nu i\sigma\sigma\alpha$ refer to violent anger nearing frenzy. Hector must have been a man prone to raging anger, since, with the exception of Il. Φ 542, where Achilles is seized with $\lambda\nu i\sigma\sigma\alpha$, Homer reserves this condition only for Hector (cf. Il. I 305) whom Teukros calls $\kappa\nu i\nu\alpha$ $\lambda\nu i\sigma i\gamma i\gamma i\rho\alpha$ (Il. Θ 299), a "rabid dog." There must also be some significance in the fact that the adjective $\lambda\nu i\sigma i\sigma i\delta i\gamma$ s, used only once in all of Homer, is applied to Hector in Il. N 53: this is a word whose root is the same as that of lux and lux and lux and lux is a word whose root to Hector, who is said to be lux and lux in the very same line, must be more than mere coincidence. My feeling is that Hector is called lux lux

¹⁴ Lattimore (above, note 10).

¹⁵ For ἐπισκύνιον meaning the skin above the eyes, see schol. ad Il. P 136 in Dindorf's Schol. Gr. in Il. (Oxford 1875–77) iv.

¹⁶ For the etymological connections of λύσσα < *λύκ ja with lux, λύχνος etc. see Frisk (above, note 9) s.ν.

Of all the gods only Hephaistos, whose name in Homer sometimes means simply fire (so in Il. B 426) and who is also $\phi \lambda o \gamma i \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon \lambda o s$ (Il. P 88), is once described as $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha l \nu \omega \nu$ in Il. Y 36. The logical conclusion would be that Hector has something in common with Hephaistos, just as both should have something in common with the wild boars and lions of which $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \omega$ is also used in hunting similes, such as the one describing how Hector goaded his men on to battle in Il. M 41-50. Here the boar or the lion στρέφεται σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων (line 42) and the hunters surround it, and keep on throwing their spears, but the animal fearlessly charges against the circle of men, which keeps on breaking.¹⁷ The hounded beast is not merely turning about at bay, but also "trying" ($\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\eta\tau i\zeta\omega\nu$) the row of men around it. To translate βλεμεαίνων with "casting furious glances" or with "flashing its eyes about" seems to me as appropriate in the case of an attacking boar or lion as in the case of a furious Hector pressing his men on against the enemy.

Finally, there is one other occurrence of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\omega$ in the *Iliad* which should be briefly discussed, because it poses a specious problem. The son of Panthoos has just threatened to kill Menelaos, who retorts in anger:

Ζεῦ πάτερ, οὐ μὲν καλὸν ὑπέρβιον εὐχετάασθαι οὕτ' οὖν παρδάλιος τόσσον μένος οὕτε λέοντος οὕτε συὸς κάπρου ὀλοόφρονος, οὖ τε μέγιστος θυμὸς ἐν στήθεσσι περὶ σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνει ὅσσον Πάνθου υἷες εὐμμελίαι φρονέουσι. (Il. P 18-23)

It has been suggested that $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha'\nu\omega$ has something to do with the eyes, and that it indicates some form of "looking." *Prima facie*, this suggestion would be at odds with the use of $\theta\nu\mu\delta$ s as subject of the verb, but one should not forget that the $\theta\nu\mu\delta$ s of the Homeric man "sees" and even "hears." ¹⁸ Further, my understanding is that $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha'\nu\omega$ means "looking" in the sense of "gleaming about" or "flashing about";

¹⁷ It should be mentioned that Prof. Lattimore's rendition of $dy\eta\nu\rho\rho\dot{\eta}$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\mu\nu$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau a$ by "and it is his own strength that kills him" is difficult to understand in terms of both text and context (above, note 10).

¹⁸ Cf. the use of θυμός with such forms as ὅσσοντο (Il. Σ 224), ὅσσετο (Od. κ 374), θάμβησεν (Od. a 323), θηήσατο (Od. ϵ 76; η 134), τέθηπεν (Od. ψ 105); also the expr. θυμώ ἀκουάζοντα (H. Merc. 423).

if $\theta\nu\mu\delta$ s here is to be understood not as "spirit," but rather as "anger," "wrathful passion," a meaning which it has several times in Homer, then it is altogether possible that the poet has in mind the idea of a wild boar "whose great anger is mightily flashing about in his breast" (line 22).

βλεμεαίνω is a rare word, which may have become obsolete even by the time the *Odyssey* was composed. The *lectio varia* in *Batrachom*. 274, if at all genuine rather than due to a scribe's playful mood, is one of only two extant occurrences of the word in post-Homeric classical literature. The textual condition of the line and the context are such that they afford us no additional insight. When St. Gregory uses the word in an elegiac couplet in his $K\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ γυναικῶν καλλωπιζομένων¹⁹ we are doubtless faced with the resurrection of a very old word, which may not have been used for hundreds of years. However, this conscious imitation of Homer is not without merit for the argument advanced in this study, because when Gregory tells the vain woman

εὶ δὲ σὺ κάλλεϊ τόσσον ἐπιπλάστῳ βλεμεαίνεις οὔποτ' ἄν ἀπλάστῳ σώφρονα θυμὸν ἔχῃς (289–90)

he seems to mean "and if you now preen on account of your artificial beauty...." Yet, what he has in mind more precisely might be some sort of haughty and coquettish flashing or blinking of her painted eyes; indeed, one of the examples of culpable immodesty, which he gives only after two lines, begins with

γράψε ποτ' ὄμματα πόρνης 'Ιεζάβελ ἀγριόθυμος (line 293).

The likelihood of the suggestion made for lines 289–90 becomes more plausible in view of the fact that one of his *thou shalt nots* addressed to women earlier in the poem is

οὐ γραπτῶν βλεφάρων ὀφρὺν ὕπερθε φέρειν κυανέην, διεράς τε κόρας ἔντοσθεν έλίσσειν (238–39).

The raising of a dark brow over painted eyelids and the rolling of wet pupils inside them suggest supercilious and calculatedly aggressive coquettishness. Lines 289–90 may well represent a return to this conceited preening manifested through raised eyebrow and rolling

¹⁹ Migne (above, note 2).

pupil. Gregory has replaced $\sigma\theta\acute{e}\nu\dot{e}\ddot{\iota}$ with $\kappa\acute{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{e}\ddot{\iota}$ to accommodate the change of subject and mood, but Homer's Hector and Gregory's femme dangereuse have something in common; they are pursuing men with different means and different aims, to be sure. If Hector's eyes gleam furiously, hers may do so seductively.

Modern philologists connect $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \omega$ with $\alpha \beta \lambda \epsilon \mu - \dot{\eta} s (-\epsilon \omega s)$ deriving both from a hypothetical * $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu$ os (>* $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\iota\nu\omega$) with unknown etymological affinities.²⁰ Although some ancients proposed a relationship of ἀβλεμέως with ἀμελέως, translating it with $\dot{a}\phi\rho\rho\nu\tau i\sigma\tau\omega s$, ²¹ the linking with $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha i\nu\omega$ and even the translation "feeble" for $\dot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\dot{\eta}s$ (so LSI) are at least as old as Eustathius.²² The neuter ἀβλεμές is found in Nicander's Alexipharmaca 82, and in Longinus 29,1. In Nicander's Alex., the phrase $\mathring{a}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\grave{\epsilon}s\,\mathring{\eta}\,\gamma\grave{a}\rho\,\kappa\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nuo\,\pi\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\iota$ β ápos refers to the white lead by which a man has been poisoned and seems to mean "for it (i.e., the lead) becomes a listless (or dull) torpor."23 In Long. 29,1 we are cautioned against the excessive use of the periphrasis, which εἰ μὴ σὺν μέτρω λαμβάνοιτο εὐθὺς γὰρ ἀβλεμὲς προσπίπτει, κουφολογίας τε όζον καὶ παχύτητος. I would translate $\dot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}_{S}$ with "dull." My choice is not without a point, and it is made with the hypothetical * $\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ os in mind which, consistently with the translation for βλεμεαίνω suggested in this study, should mean something like a "flash" or a "gleam." $\dot{a}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}_{S}$, then, would refer to something which has lost its "gleam," hence something "dull," and, as seen from semasiological transitions in this English word, perhaps something "listless" and torpid.

It is obvious from the context of $d\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega s \pi i \nu \omega \nu$ in Panyasis 13,8 that the translation "drinking intemperately" (LSJ) cannot be far off the mark.²⁴ However, the transition from "feeble," "flat," given

²⁰ See Frisk (above, note 9) s.v., and Schwyzer, Griech. Gramm. 440⁴, 733¹.

²¹ So Et. Magnum, Et. Gud., Suidas s.v.

²² Eust. (above, note 5) 54,15; 892,2. The scholiast of Nic. Alex. 82 with his translation of $\mathring{a}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\acute{e}\varsigma$ with $\mathring{a}\delta\rho\alpha\nu\acute{e}\varsigma$ seems to share this preference.

²³ The translation of $\beta \acute{a}\rho$ os with "torpor" is in keeping with medical usage elsewhere (LSJ), and with the patient's symptoms who

ἄλλοτε δ' ύπναλέος ψύχει δέμας οὐδ' ἔτι γυῖα ὡς τὸ πάρος δονέει, καμάτω δ' ὑποδάμναται εἴκων (lines 85–86)

²⁴ EGF, ed. G. Kinkel (Lipsia 1877) Pan. 13. The tenor of the poem is given by line 15: ἀλλὰ $\pi\iota\theta$ οῦ καὶ π αῦϵ π ολὺν π ότον.

for the adjectival form, to "intemperately" ascribed by LSI to the adverb seems rather impervious to common sense; one cannot drink "feebly" and "intemperately" at the same time. An attempt to correct the obvious incongruity is found in the LSI Supplement, which under $\alpha\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\eta$'s gives "violent" for Nicander's Alex. 82 and the corresponding adverbial form for Panyasis 13,8, reserving "feeble," "flat" for Longinus 29.1. The same source justifies the first meaning by deriving $\dot{a}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\dot{\eta}s$ from the stem of $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\dot{a}i\nu\omega$ plus the intensifying a, and the second one by suggesting the same stem prefixed by the aprivative. There is an implication here—strangely enough never spelt out in the article on $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \omega$ in LSI, where the suggested meaning is "exult"—that the stem $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\sigma$ - has some semasiological connection with "strength" or "violence." The ground for this interpretation is very tenuous, unless one thinks that the meaning of $\sigma\theta \acute{e}\nu e\ddot{i}$, which always precedes $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \epsilon i (-\omega \nu)$ in Homer, is somehow transmitted to it. Further, the suggestion that the antithetical meanings "violent" and "feeble" are to be attributed to an alternation of the intensifying with the privative α is hardly a secure etymological fulcrum for the inconsistency of the proposed meaning. Since $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\omega$ in this study has been consistently connected with flashes or gleams usually emanating from the eyes, and since $\dot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}s$ has been explained as something negating that idea, the adverb $\partial \beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ should be understood in terms that are not incongruous with this interpretation. It is possible that originally ἀβλεμέως πίνειν meant "to drink without blinking or flashing about one's eyes" or, perhaps, even to drink oneself to such a dull stupor that the eyes lose their brightness. This adverbial expression brings to mind the less obscure άμυστὶ πίνειν²⁵ explained as "drinking at one draught," that is, without pausing to close the mouth and breathe.²⁶ Interestingly enough, the verb $\mu \dot{\nu} \omega$, whence $\dot{a}\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{l}$, in Homer at least is primarily used of closing the eyes.²⁷ For all we know, the two expressions may have been originally synonymous, for to drink $d\mu\nu\sigma\tau i$ is also to drink intemperately. But, like ἀμυστὶ πίνειν, so too ἀβλεμέως

²⁵ Occurring among others in Pherecr. 22 (CAF), Anacreontea 8 (PLG iii).

 $^{^{26}}$ Thus Hsch. s.v. Άμυστις· τὴν ἀπνευστὶ καὶ ἀθρόαν πόσιν Τίμαρχός φησιν οὖτως λέγεσθαι. Cf. Anacreon 63 (in Bergk's PLG iii). 27 Cf. Il. Ω 637.

πίνειν must have had a more precise and concrete meaning, and, most likely, one that does not estrange it from the proposed meanings for its close relatives $\mathring{a}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\acute{\epsilon}s$, $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\acute{a}i\nu\omega$.

βλεμεαίνω/ἀβλεμ-ής(-έως) do not belong to those words which yield the secret of their origin to the etymologist revealing incontrovertible ties of kinship within the IE family. However, some tempting possibilities should be mentioned. The postulated form * $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu$ os may represent an indirectly surviving variant for $*\gamma\lambda\epsilon\mu$ os with a $\beta\lambda/\gamma\lambda$ shift not unknown to Greek (cf. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega / \gamma \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$, $\beta \lambda \eta \chi \omega \nu / \gamma \lambda \eta \chi \omega \nu$ etc.). If this might be the case, then our word could be traced to a root gel, variations of which may be seen in such words as γελάω, γαλήνη (Aeol. γελήνη, άγλαός, γλαυκός, in Hesychius' glosses) γλαινοί (explained as τὰ λαμπρύσματα τῶν περικεφαλαίων οἷον ἀστέρες) and γελεῖν (explained as $\lambda \hat{a} \mu \pi \epsilon i \nu \hat{a} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$), the Homeric $\gamma \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu o s$ (= jewel; Hsch. interestingly enough gives $\phi \acute{a}$ os under this gloss), and especially in the Homeric $\gamma \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ (= pupil of the eye). All these words and their cognates in related languages (OHG kleini [="glänzend," "zeirlich"], E. clean < AS. claene, Ar. cal-u = laughter) have something to do with radiance.²⁸ The Homeric $\gamma \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ in fact localizes this in the eye, where our hypothetical $*\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu$ os/* $\gamma\lambda\epsilon\mu$ os have also been placed by the semasiological analysis of $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \omega / \alpha \beta \lambda \epsilon \mu - \dot{\eta} s (-\epsilon \omega s)$ in this study.

The etymology suggested above links $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\omega$ with a root that allows us a reasonably circumscribed spectrum of meanings that remain constant within the *Iliad* and do not force us into acrobatics when we shift to the passages where the kindred $\dot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\mu\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}s(-\dot{\epsilon}\omega s)$ are used. The meanings "cast furious glances," "flash the eyes," "gleam" restore the symmetry and power of the similes in $Il.\ \Theta$ 336-49, tally so well with Homer's image of rabid $(\lambda\nu\sigma\sigma\dot{\omega}\delta\eta s)$, flamelike $(\phi\lambda\sigma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\lambda\sigma s)$ Hector, whose effulgent eyes seem to hold an altogether

²⁸ For the possibility of a common root for all these words and their IE cognates, see Frisk (above, note 9) s.v. $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \acute{a}\omega$, $\gamma \lambda \acute{\eta}\nu\eta$. $\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \acute{\epsilon}o\nu\tau\epsilon s$, the name of one of the four Ionic tribes, and $\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \acute{\epsilon}\omega\nu$, the name of one of Ion's sons and an epithet of Zeus, have also been connected with the same root (Frisk, s.v.). In the case of Zeus, at least, would it not make good sense to think of the epithet as originally referring to Zeus the "flashing" god, i.e., wielder of the thunderbolt? The etymology of $\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \acute{\omega}$, a female monster not very much unlike Mormô, Empousa, Lamia, and Gorgô might also be sought in this same root. Homer's $\beta \lambda o\sigma\nu\rho\hat{\omega}m\iota s$ $\Gamma o\rho\gamma\acute{\omega}$ (II. Λ 36) had terrible eyes and it might be this characteristic that earned $\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \acute{\omega}$ her name, the "glowering one" or the one with the "gleaming" eyes.

special fascination for the poet (Γοργοῦς ὅμματ' ἔχων, σμερδαλέον δέδορκεν . . . ως δράκων), and render the "hooding" of the lion's eyes in Il. P 136-37 easier to understand as a sort of an angry scowl or glower. These same meanings should be especially appropriate in the case of the "flamelike" god of fire Hephaistos (Il. Y 36), and of raging lions or wild boars at bay or about to lunge against the hunters (Il. M 42; P 22, P 135). Even the immodest temptress in St. Gregory's diatribe on female vanity must do more than merely "exult." The example of the licentious Jezebel, who "painted her eyes" in order to seduce the approaching avenger Jehu (Kings, ii 9, 30), occurs so close to the couplet where $\epsilon i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\nu} \dots \kappa \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota s$ is found that the rendition already suggested seems the only natural one. much like Eustathius, seems to have understood the βλεμεαίνω mainly as an activity of the eyes. The meanings proposed by the LSJ Supplement for $\dot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}s$ ($\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$) are contradictory and difficult to understand in view of the meaning ascribed to the verb. The transition from "exult" for the verb to "violent" and "feeble" for the adjective forces us to take some very difficult steps in explaining meaning and derivation. By contrast, "dull" seems to make fairly good sense in Nicander's Alexipharmaca 82 and Longinus 29,1. Finally, άβλεμέως πίνων in Panyasis 13 must have had a more specific meaning, at least, originally. The one already suggested, namely, "drinking without flashing the eyes" or without "batting" them, therefore, "drinking intemperately," is indeed both likely and etymologically cogent.